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Abstract: Many countries use a sample design in their agricultural surveys that is assumed, incorrectly, to be equivalent to stratified simple random sampling without replacement. This article discusses how the variance of a direct expansion based on that design should be estimated.
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1. Introduction

Stratified simple random sampling (srs) without replacement is perhaps the most popular sampling design in the world. It allows for the simple and unbiased estimation of a population total with a variance that can be estimated in a straightforward and unbiased manner.

Survey statisticians, however, sometimes employ sampling designs that they believe to be equivalent to stratified srs without replacement but are not. This article points out a commonly used design that falls into this category. It then discusses the repercussions on variance estimation.

2. The Design

Many countries employ (at least in part) the following sampling design for their agricultural surveys. First, the land mass of a region of interest is stratified by putative land-use criteria. Then, a with replacement probability proportional to size (pps) sample of somewhat homogeneous primary sampling units or clusters is selected within each stratum; the measure of size being the number of equally-sized area segments in the cluster. Within clusters chosen $m$ times for the sample, an srs of $m$ segments is chosen without replacement ($m$ is most often one, but it can be greater). Finally,
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there is complete enumeration of the farm values of interest within the sampled area segments. For a fuller description of this sampling design see Cotter and Nealon (1987).

This design, which is used by the United States, all of Central America, Pakistan, Morocco, Tunisia, Haiti², and a host of other countries, is generally believed to be effectively equivalent to srs without replacement but less expensive to conduct, since only area segments within sampled clusters need be delineated. It may be relatively inexpensive, but it is not equivalent to srs without replacement.

3. A Conventional Analysis

Let \( N \) be the population size of the stratum under study and \( n \) the sample size. Let the subscript \( j \) denote a cluster (\( j = 1, 2, \ldots, L \)) and the subscript \( ji \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_j \)) a segment in cluster \( j \). Finally, let \( n_{ji} \) be the number of times segment \( ji \) is in the sample (which can only be 0 or 1) and \( n_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N_j} n_{ji} \) be the number of sampled segments from cluster \( j \). (For simplicity, we assume that all \( N_j \) exceed \( n \).)

Since clusters are selected via pps with replacement sampling, \( E(n_j) = nN_j/N \). Each segment in \( j \) has the same probability of selection, call it \( p_{ji} \). As a result, \( p_{ji} = E(n_{ji}) = E(\sum_{i=1}^{N_j} n_{ji})/N_j = E(n_j)/N_j = n/N \).

The probability of jointly choosing segments \( ji \) and \( gh \) for the sample is

\[
p_{jig} = \begin{cases} 
n/N & \text{if } ji = gh \\
\frac{nN_j(n - 1)}{N^2(N_j - 1)} & \text{if } j = g, \ h \neq i \\
\frac{n(n - 1)}{N^2} & \text{if } j \neq g. 
\end{cases}
\]

By contrast, for srs without replacement, \( p_{jig} \) again equals \( n/N \) when \( ji = gh \), but it equals \( n(n - 1)/N(N(N - 1)) \) otherwise.

Let \( y_{ji} \) be the farm value of interest for segment \( ji \). The quantity \( Y = \sum_{i}^{N_j} \sum_{i}^{N_j} (y_{ji}) \) can be estimated in an unbiased fashion by the direct expansion \( y = (N/n) \sum_{i}^{N_j} \sum_{i}^{N_j} (y_{ji}) \), since \( E(n_{ji}) = n/N \).

Although \( y \) has the same form as a direct expansion estimator based on either srs without replacement or srs with replacement, its variance is another matter.

It simplifies the analysis to focus on an unbiased estimator for the true variance of \( y \) rather than on the variance itself. The Yates-Grundy estimator for the variance of \( y \) is (Cochran (1977, p. 261. eq. 9A.44)):

\[
v_{YG} = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{g=1}^{L} \sum_{h=1}^{N_j} \sum_{i=1}^{N_j} \left( \frac{p_{gh}p_{ji}}{p_{ghji}} - 1 \right) \times n_{ji}n_{gh} \left( \frac{y_{ji} - y_{gh}}{p_{ji} - p_{gh}} \right)^2.
\]

After some manipulation, we see that

\[
v_{YG} = \frac{n^2}{n(n - 1)} \left\{ \sum_{j} \sum_{i} n_{ji} (y_{ji} - \bar{y})^2 \right. \\
\left. - \sum_{j} (n_j/N_j) \sum_{i} n_{ji} (y_{ji} - \bar{y}_j)^2 \right\},
\]

where \( \bar{y} = y/N \), and \( \bar{y}_j = \sum_{i} (n_{ji}/n_j)(y_{ji})/n_j \) when \( n_j > 0 \) and \( \bar{y} \) otherwise.

There are two things immediately clear from equation (2). The Yates–Grundy variance estimator for \( y \) given the sampling design under study is not the same as the variance estimator for srs without replacement except in the degenerate case when \( L = 1 \) (making \( n_j/N_j = n/N \) and \( \bar{y}_j = \bar{y} \)). On the other hand, \( v_{YG} \) is exactly equal to the standard variance estimator for srs with replacement (the first line of the right hand side of (2)) when no \( n_j \) exceeds unity.
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i.e., when no cluster has more than one segment in the sample. Otherwise, the srs with replacement variance formula will be greater than $\nu_{y|x}$ when the sampled $y_{ji}$ within a cluster with $n_i > 1$ are not all equal.

Since the Yates–Grundy estimator in (2) is an unbiased estimator of the variance of $y$, the standard srs with replacement variance estimator has (if anything) a slight upward bias as an estimator for the variance of $y$. Unfortunately, no similar statement can be made about the standard estimator for the variance of $y$ under srs without replacement.

4. Model-Based Analysis

In order to relate the variance of $y$ to the srs without replacement variance estimator,

$$\nu_{wtr} = \frac{N^2(1 - n/N)}{n(n - 1)} \left\{ \sum_j \sum_i n_{ji}(y_{ji} - \bar{y})^2 \right\},$$

it is helpful to treat the $y_{ji}$ as random variables. In particular, consider the stochastic structure of Scott and Smith (1969) which assumes

$$y_{ji} = \mu + \delta_j + \tau_{ji},$$

where $\delta_j$ and $\tau_{ji}$ are uncorrelated random variables with means of zero and variances of $\sigma^2_\delta$ and $\sigma^2_\tau$, respectively.

Let $\epsilon$ denote expectation with respect to the model. It is not difficult to show that $\epsilon(\nu_{wtr})$ is always less than or equal to $\epsilon[\epsilon[(y - Y)^2]]$, an expression Isaki and Fuller (1982) called the anticipated variance of $y$. Strict equality holds if and only if $\sigma^2_y = 0$. Thus, treating $\nu_{wtr}$ as the variance estimator for $y$ is justified by the model when $\sigma^2_y = 0$ but not when $\sigma^2_y > 0$.

5. Discussion

The analysis presented here has shown that a very common sampling design for agricultural surveys is not—as is widely believed—equivalent to stratified simple random sampling without replacement. Nevertheless, using the standard stratified srs without replacement variance estimation formula for direct expansions can sometimes, but not always, be justified on model-based grounds. The use is justified when the farm values for segments in a particular stratum can be treated as uncorrelated random variables with a common mean and variance. The use is not justified, however, when the farm values for segments within the same cluster are correlated (i.e., when $\sigma^2_\delta > 0$).

The Yates–Grundy variance estimator developed here is unbiased in the conventional sense but it is cumbersome to use and can be unstable. It may therefore be advisable to estimate variances of direct expansions using the somewhat conservative srs with replacement formula. This is especially appealing when the stratum sampling fractions are small (in U.S. agriculture surveys they are almost always less than 10%) so that the difference between the upwardly biased with replacement and downwardly biased (under the model) without replacement variance estimation formulae is also small.

One last point warrants mentioning. It is possible to modify the sampling design under discussion so that it is effectively equivalent to stratified srs without replacement. After a cluster is selected for the sample, its measure of size can be decreased by one before the next cluster (from the stratum) is chosen via pps sampling. Segments can be subsampled from singly or multiply selected clusters as they are now. The individual and joint segment selection probabilities could then be shown to be identical to the selection probabilities had a without replacement stratified simple random sample of segments been chosen.
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